



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/015,192	11/16/2001	John Saare	SUN-P6492NP US/NC	6370
32615	7590	07/11/2005	EXAMINER	
OSHA LIANG L.L.P./SUN 1221 MCKINNEY, SUITE 2800 HOUSTON, TX 77010			PHILLIPS, HASSAN A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2151	

DATE MAILED: 07/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/015,192

Applicant(s)

SAARE ET AL.

Examiner

Hassan Phillips

Art Unit

2151

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 May 2005.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6 and 12-17 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6 and 12-17 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to amendments and remarks filed on May 10, 2005.

Specification

2. The objection to the disclosure is sustained for failure to place reference numeral (263) behind "Java server page rules" on page 17, line 18. Appropriate correction is required.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6, and 12-17, have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1-6, 12-17, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murren et al. (hereinafter Murren), U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2003/0078960, in view of Egli, U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2003/0084120, and further in view of the Applicants Admitted Prior Art (AAPA).

6. In considering claims 1 and 12, Murren teaches a method and system for providing extensible client functions using a distributed computer network comprising:

- a) receiving a request for functions from a client, (page 1, paragraphs 7-8);
- b) accessing a Java server page corresponding to the request, (page 16, paragraph 148);
- c) accessing a plurality of tags contained within the Java server page, (page 16, paragraph 149);
- d) processing the Java server page using the tags to access a server for providing the functions, (page 16, paragraph 149, Fig. 2, and Fig. 10); and
- e) transmitting the processed Java server page, including information responsive to the request, to the client, (page 16, paragraph 149, Fig. 2, and Fig. 10).

Although the disclosed method and system taught by Murren shows substantial features of the claimed invention, it fails to expressly disclose: a collection tag providing access to a collection of function information.

Nevertheless, in a similar field of endeavor, Egli teaches a Web application framework for creating Web-based applications comprising: custom tags which include a collection tag that provides access to a collection of information, (page 6, paragraph 64).

Thus, given the teachings of Egli, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Murren to include a collection tag within the Java server page that provides access to a collection of function information. This

would have advantageously alleviated the need for Java scripting throughout the Java server page, Egli page 6, paragraph 64.

Although the modified method and system taught by Murren shows substantial features of the claimed invention, it fails to further expressly disclose: the functions being address book functions.

Nevertheless, on page 3, lines 9-15, of the Applicants disclosure, the Applicant admits that Web portals providing e-address books, have become increasingly popular.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Murren with the AAPA to show the functions being address book functions. This would have provided an efficient means for users of different domains and different types of client devices to access extensible client address book functions using a distributed computer network, Murren col. 1, paragraphs 6-9.

7. In considering claims 2 and 13, Murren further teaches accessing the Java server page corresponding to the request, wherein the Java server page is retrieved from a set of compiled Java server page classes. See page 22, paragraph 204.

8. In considering claims 3 and 14, the combined teachings of Murren and the AAPA provide a means for the tags contained within the Java server page to be configured to provide access to address book functions provided by an address book server. See Murren pages 8-10, paragraphs 100-109.

9. In considering claims 4 and 15, the combined teachings of Murren and the AAPA provide a means for providing extended address book functions by accessing a plurality of extended tags contained within the Java server page, wherein address book functions are extended by adding the extended tags corresponding to new address book functionality of an address book server. See Murren pages 19-21, paragraphs 183-202.

10. In considering claims 5 and 16, Murren teaches transmitting the processed Java server page to the client in accordance with WAP (wireless application protocol) communication standards. See page 2, paragraph 39.

11. In considering claims 6 and 17, Murren teaches transmitting the processed Java server page to the client in accordance with WML (wireless markup language) communication standards. See page 4, paragraph 62.

Double Patenting

12. In considering the terminal disclaimer filed on May 10, 2005, Examiner has withdrawn the obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

Terminal Disclaimer

13. The terminal disclaimer filed on May 10, 2005 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date

of any patent granted on 09/994,450 and 10/015,177, has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

Conclusion

14. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hassan Phillips whose telephone number is (571) 272-3940. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Zarni Maung can be reached on (571) 272-3939. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HP/
7/8/05


ZARNI MAUNG
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER